1 2	BARRY E. HINKLE, Bar No. 071223 NICOLE M. PHILLIPS, Bar No. 203786 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD			
3	A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200			
4	Alameda, CA 94501-1091			
5	Telephone (510) 337-1001/Facsimile (510) 337-1023			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
7	GENE FARBER Law Offices of Gene A. Farber			
8	4258 26 th Street San Francisco, CA 94131			
9	Telephone (415) 956-1800/Facsimile (415) 282-4228			
10	Attorneys for Defendant			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA		
13	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the LABORERS HEALTH AND) No. 1:08-C	V-5652-CRB	
14 15	WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; LABORERS VACATION-HOLIDAY))		
16	TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA;)) JOINT CASI	E MANAGEMENT	
17	LABORERS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; and LABORERS) CONFEREN (PROPOSED	CE STATEMENT; O ORDER	
18	TRAINING AND RETRAINING TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; and THE BOARD OF)))) ORDER	
19	TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the CEMENT MASONS HEALTH AND WELFARE	Date:	March 27, 2009	
20	TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR	Time: Courtroom:	10 a.m. 17th th Floor	
21	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS VACATION/HOLIDAY TRUST FUND FOR))		
22	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS))		
23	APPRENTICESHIP AND TRAINING TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,	,))		
24	Plaintiffs,))		
25	v.))		
26	VARGAS & ESQUIVEL CONSTRUCTION, INC., a California Corporation,))		
27	Defendant.	<i>)</i>))		
28 DGER &	——————————————————————————————————————	,)		

WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091 (510) 337-1001

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The parties to the above-entitled actions jointly submit this Case Management Conference Statement and Proposed Order and a Stipulation to Continue the Case Management Conference for approximately 60 days, filed herewith.

A. FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS

The Plaintiffs in the consolidated matter are Trustees representing Laborers Union or Cement Masons Union employee benefit plans created by written Trust Agreements subject to and pursuant to section 302 of the Labor Management Relations Act (29 U.S.C. § 186) and multiemployer employee benefit plans within the meaning of sections 3, 4 and 502 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002, 1003 and 1132).

Defendant VARGAS & ESQUIVEL CONSTRUCTION, INC., a California Corporation, has been an employer within the meaning of section 3(5) and section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(5), 1145) and an employer in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of section 301 of the LMRA (29 U.S.C. § 185). Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has also been a member of the Engineering & Utility Contractors Association (hereinafter referred to as EUCA), and by virtue of such membership, became subject to all the terms and conditions of the Laborers Master Agreement (hereinafter "Laborers Agreement") and the Cement Masons Master Labor Agreement (hereinafter "Cement Masons Agreement").

The Laborers and Cement Masons Agreements provide for prompt payment of all delinquent contributions to the various Trust Funds, and provide for the payment of interest on all delinquent contributions, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and other collection costs, and for the audit of the signatory employer or employers' books and records in order to permit the Plaintiffs to ascertain whether all fringe benefit contributions have been timely paid as required by the applicable labor agreements and law.

Plaintiffs THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; LABORERS VACATION-HOLIDAY TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; LABORERS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; and LABORERS TRAINING AND

28

1]
2]
3	,
4	,
5]
6	:
7	
8]
9	
10]
11	•
12]
13]
14	•
15	1
16	(
17]
18]
19	j
20	1
21	5

RETRAINING TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, (hereinafter "Laborers Trust Funds") allege that Defendant has failed, neglected, or refused to make timely fringe benefit contributions to the Laborers Trust Funds as required by the Laborers Agreement and Trust Agreements establishing Plaintiff trust funds, and there is now due and owing and unpaid to Plaintiffs contributions in the sum of at least \$16,252.86 and liquidated damages and interest in the sum of at least \$1,669.72 for hours reported, but not paid, for the months of February 2008 through July 2008; and liquidated damages and interest for hours reported and paid, but paid late, for the period of November 2005 through May 2008, in the sum of at least \$10,772.71.

Plaintiffs THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the CEMENT MASONS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS VACATION/HOLIDAY TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; CEMENT MASONS APPRENTICESHIP AND TRAINING TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, allege that Defendant has failed, neglected, or refused to make timely fringe benefit contributions as required by the Cement Masons Agreement and Trust Agreements establishing Plaintiff Cement Masons Trust Funds there is now due and owing and unpaid to Plaintiff Cement Masons Board of Trustees contributions in the sum of at least \$32,520.87 and liquidated damages and interest in the sum of at least \$4,823.06 for hours reported, but not paid, for the months of December 2007 through September 2008; and liquidated damages and for hours reported and paid, but paid late, for the period of November 2005 through November 2007 in the sum of at least \$6,830.00.

Plaintiffs bring this suit against Defendant to collect said amounts owed and allege that Defendant breached its contracts with Plaintiff Trust Funds and their fiduciaries under ERISA. Plaintiffs also request an audit to be performed of Defendant's books and records.

Defendant denies Plaintiffs' material allegations, and further denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief as requested.

27

22

23

24

25

26

28

1 B. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE 2 This action arises under and is brought pursuant to section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as amended (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. § 1132, and section 301 of the Labor 4 Management Relations Act (LMRA), 29 U.S.C. § 185. The jurisdiction of this Court is founded on 5 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 6 Venue properly lies in this district court because a substantial part of the events and 7 omissions giving rise to these claims occurred in this district, including, but not limited to 8 Defendant's master agreements with Plaintiffs, which requires that trust fund contributions are due 9 and payable in the County of San Francisco. 10 All parties have been served and appeared. 11 C. LEGAL ISSUES 12 1. What amounts, if any, are properly owed to the Trust Funds for contributions, 13 liquidated damages, interest, and audit costs for violations of the Defendant's collective bargaining 14 agreement and Trust Agreements referenced therein. 15 2. Whether the Complaint in this action states a claim upon which relief can be 16 granted. 17 D. **MOTIONS** 18 Plaintiffs and Defendant anticipate settling this matter, but if it does not settle, Plaintiffs 19 anticipate filing a motion for summary judgment or summary adjudication. 20 AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS Ε. 21 None anticipated, unless to add additional parties as revealed in discovery. 22 F. **EVIDENCE PRESERVATION** 23 The parties have taken necessary steps to preserve all relevant evidence presently in their 24 respective possession and control. 25 G. **DISCLOSURES** 26 The parties will exchange initial disclosures prior to the Case Management Conference. 27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

H. DISCOVERY

The parties do not agree to any limitation regarding discovery, other than the limitations specified in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties anticipate settling this matter and have not agreed upon a discovery cut-off date at this time.

I. CLASS ACTIONS

The instant matter is not a class action.

J. RELATED CASES PENDING

None.

K. RELIEF

Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendant, Vargas & Esquivel Construction, as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff Laborers Trust Funds contributions in the amount of \$21,447.39, plus interest thereon;
- 2. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff Laborers Trust Funds liquidated damages and interest in the amount of \$15,623.25, plus interest thereon;
- 3. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff Cement Masons Trust Funds contributions in the amount of \$35,033.15, plus interest thereon;
- 4. That Defendant be ordered to pay Plaintiff Cement Masons Trust Funds liquidated damages and interest in the amount of \$12,899.38, plus interest thereon;
- 5. That Defendant be ordered to pay each the Plaintiffs actual damages according to proof;
- 6. That this Court issue an Order directing and permanently enjoining Defendant to submit to the Trust Funds, all reports and contributions due and owing by Defendant, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs as provided in ERISA sections 502(a)(3) and (g)(2) (29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), (g)(2);
- 7. That this Court issue an Order permanently enjoining Defendant for so long as it remains obligated to contribute to the Trust Funds, from failing, neglecting, or refusing to timely submit required monthly contributions reports and payments as required by the terms of the

27

1	collect	collective bargaining agreements, Trust Agreements and ERISA sections 502(a)(3) and (g)(2) (29)				
2	U.S.C	U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), (g)(2));				
3		8.	That Defendant be ordered to pay attorney's fees;			
4		9.	That Defendant be ordered to pay costs of suit herein;			
5		10.	That Defendants be ordered to submit to an audit by Plaintiffs;			
6		11.	That this Court grant such further relief as this Court deems just and proper; and			
7		12.	That this Court retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce the Order compelling an			
8	Audit	udit and payment of all amount found due and owing.				
9	L.	ADR				
10		The pa	arties agree to participate in court-sponsored settlement conference and/or mediation			
11 12	M.	CONSENT TO ASSIGNMENT OF THIS CASE TO A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR TRIAL:				
13		Neith	er of the parties consents to assignment of this case to a United States Magistrate			
14	Judge	e for trial.				
15	N.	OTHER REFERENCES				
16		The ca	ase is not suitable to binding arbitration, a special master or the Judicial Panel.			
17	О.	NARI	ROWING OF ISSUES			
18		Plaint	iffs believe that the issues may be narrowed by means of a motion for summary			
19	judgm	udgment or summary adjudication.				
20	Р.	EXPE	EDITED SCHEDULE			
21		The ca	ase is not suited for expedited scheduling.			
22	Q.	SCHI	EDULING			
23		The P	arties anticipate settling this matter and have not agreed upon a schedule.			
24	R.	TRIA	L			
25		Defen	dant has demanded a jury trial.			
26	s.	CON	TINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE			
27			arties have already commenced settlement negotiations and Defendant has agreed to			
28		1				

28
WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
(510) 337-1001

Case 3:08-cv-05652-CRB Document 11 Filed 03/20/09 Page 7 of 8

1	allow Plaintiffs audit entry to audit their payroll records to see what, if any, additional fringe		
2	benefit contributions are owed. Plaintiffs' legal counsel has indicated that she will be on vacation		
3	on the day of the case management conference, and as lead trial counsel, she requests the ability to		
4	attend the conference herself. For these reasons, the Parties respectfully request by a Stipulation		
5	attached hereto that this Case Management Conference be continued for at least 60 days.		
6			
7	Dated: March 13, 2009		
8	WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation		
9	Dev. //a//		
10	By: //s// NICOLE M. PHILLIPS Attornaya for Plaintiffs		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Data de March 12, 2000		
12	Dated: March 13, 2009 FIRM		
13	Dry //g// Signature Authorized		
14	By: <u>//s// Signature Authorized</u> GENE FARBER Attorneys for Defendant		
15	Attorneys for Defendant		
16	120217/524034		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway			
Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091 (510) 337-1001	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT/(PROPOSED) ORDER		

. _

weinberg, roger & rosenfeld

ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
(510) 337-1001

JPROPOSED| ORDER

The Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the Court and as per the Parties' request, the Parties are referred to Alternative Dispute Resolution and the Case Management Conference has been continued until:

June 5, 2009

